IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

Crl.Misc. No.73378-M of 2006
Date of Decision:- 19.02.2007

Dharam Pal ....Petitioner(s)

through
Mr.S.P.S.Sidhu, Advocate

vs.

State of Punjab ....Respondent(s)

through
Mr.B.S.Baath, AAG, Punjab.

***

CORAM:-HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE SURYA KANT.

***

SURYA KANT, J.

The petitioner, who is undergoing sentence for an offence under Section 363/366/376 IPC, has been denied parole on the ground that he had kidnapped a girl in the neighbourhood and raped her.

In the written statement, the respondents have taken a further plea that as per the report of the District Magistrate, Moga, no responsible person is ready to take responsibility for the petitioner's parole period.

On the other hand, it is contended on behalf of the petitioner that no police official ever visited the Mohalla where the petitioner's family is living nor any kind of enquiries were made regarding the petitioner's antecedents.

It is also stated that the petitioner's family is ready and willing to furnish requisite bail bonds/sureties of the respectables of the area and they shall take full responsibility for the petitioner's good behaviour during the period of his parole.

In the light of the above-mentioned positive stand taken on behalf of the petitioner, this petition is disposed of with a direction that in

case the petitioner and his family are able to furnish not only their own adequate bail bonds but also two sureties of the respectables of the area, in the like amount to the satisfaction of the District Magistrate, the petitioner's case shall be reconsidered in accordance with law within a period of two months from the date of receipt of any such application along with the sureties furnished by him.

February 19, 2007 **(SURYA KANT)**
poonam **JUDGE**